## RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD

FORT McCLELLAN, ALABAMA

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

Taken before KELLI K. LOWERY, a Certified Court Reporter and Commissioner for Alabama at Large, at Building 215, Fort McClellan, Alabama, on the 14th day of January, 2008, commencing at approximately 5:00 p.m.

## NOBLE & ASSOCIATES

1	REPORTER'S INDEX
2	
3	CAPTION SHEET 1
4	REPORTER'S INDEX 2
5	RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD 3-69
6	CERTIFICATE
7	000
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

1	DR	BARRY	COX:	Okav	SO	I quess
<b>_</b>	D1C •	DAILLI	C O 2 2 •	Oltay.	50 .	T Gacaa

- we'll go ahead and call the meeting to order. For the
- 3 first order of business, we'll introduce the guest
- 4 that are the nonmembers starting with Ron back in the
- 5 back there.
- 6 RON GRANT: Ron Grant, interested
- 7 citizen.
- 8 JEREMY COX: My name is Jeremy Cox.
- 9 I'm a graduate student for UFH, and I'm with the
- 10 Anniston Star.
- 11 LISA HOLSTEIN: I'm Lisa Holstein
- 12 with the Transition Force.
- BRENDA CUNNINGHAM:
- 14 Brenda Cunningham, RAB coordinator.
- 15 KAREN PINSON: Karen Pinson, Army
- 16 National Guard.
- DR. BARRY COX: Okay. Appreciate
- 18 everybody coming out.
- 19 Has everybody had a chance that was here
- last time to review the minutes?
- 21 BRENDA CUNNINGHAM: I need you to
- take roll.
- DR. BARRY COX: Okay.

1 We'll do the minute approval as	and go back
-----------------------------------	-------------

- 2 and get the roll.
- 3 Has everybody had a chance look over the
- 4 minutes? Is there any additions, or corrections,
- 5 deletions?
- 6 PENN WILSON: I make a motion that
- 7 the minutes be approved.
- 8 DR. BARRY COX: Do we have a second?
- 9 DR. JOHN SPAIN: I second.
- 10 DR. BARRY COX: All in favor, say
- 11 I. All opposed, same sign. Motion carried.
- Okay. Let's go down the roster here.
- Mr. Buford?
- JAMES BURFORD: Here.
- DR. BARRY COX: Mr. Burgett?
- 16 Mr. Clendenin?
- MONTY CLENDENIN: Here.
- DR. BARRY COX: Mr. Conroy?
- 19 Mr. Elser?
- JEROME ELSER: Here.
- DR. BARRY COX: Mr. Franklin is
- here.
- Mr. Hall? Dr. Harrington?

1	DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Here.
2	DR. BARRY COX: Mr. Jackson?
3	ROBERT JACKSON: Here.
4	DR. BARRY COX: Dr. Kimberly?
5	DR. MIKE KIMBERLY: Here.
6	DR. BARRY COX: Mr. Kimbrough?
7	Mr. Miller? Dr. John Spain?
8	DR. JOHN SPAIN: Here.
9	DR. BARRY COX: Dr. Steffy?
10	DR. DAVID STEFFY: Here.
11	DR. BARRY COX: Mr. Wilson?
12	PENN WILSON: Here.
13	DR. BARRY COX: That's our voting
14	members.
15	Old business. What do we have on tap
16	for old business? No pun intended.
17	DR. JOHN SPAIN: I think we might
18	have the thing about the status of Pete Conroy. That
19	might be old business.
20	MONTY CLENDENIN: Is he the unnamed
21	member mentioned in the minutes that has not been in
22	attendance? The minutes refer to one of our members
23	who has not attended regularly.

1	BRENDA CUNNINGHAM: I'm sorry. You
2	need to do the bylaw change first before you jump to
3	that.
4	MONTY CLENDENIN: Okay. I'm sorry.
5	BRENDA CUNNINGHAM: That's okay.
6	I'm sorry. Because the TAPP contract, there's no
7	change. They're still waiting on funding, so that's
8	all we know about that.
9	Scott Bolton is excused tonight and
10	Cheryl Bragg. Mr. Bolton is in mandatory training in
11	Maryland, so he couldn't be here.
12	The bylaws change is in your packet.
13	Last meeting, it was requested that the bylaws be
14	changed to offer members to call in on a conference
15	call, so I did change those, and it's in your packets.
16	I changed it to read paragraph four five, "Attending
17	via conference call: RAB members can participate in
18	the meeting by calling in on the conference line.
19	Members wishing to use the conference option must
20	notify the RAB coordinator five working days prior to
21	the meeting so arrangements can be made. Regular
22	members' attendance via conference line will be
23	considered as present only once a calendar year." So

1	in the	four	meetings	а	year,	it	will	only	count	you	as
---	--------	------	----------	---	-------	----	------	------	-------	-----	----

- being present in a meeting once.
- Now, the only problem I saw with that as
- I was doing that was down on paragraph five three, it
- 5 says "no proxy." This was already in your bylaws.
- 6 It says "A regular member must be
- 7 physically present at a meeting in order to have his
- 8 or her vote counted at same or have called in
- 9 accordance with paragraph four five above." I added
- 10 that on the end, so it will allow you to call in that
- one time on a conference call.
- 12 So it's up to you if you want to leave
- 13 it like that or if you want to change the words or
- 14 whatever you want to do.
- 15 (Whereupon Brandy Little is in attendance.)
- 16 ROBERT JACKSON: I got a question.
- 17 Let's say somebody calls in. Is that considered part
- 18 of the quorum?
- DR. JOHN SPAIN: Yes.
- 20 BRENDA CUNNINGHAM: Once, I think
- 21 is --
- 22 ROBERT JACKSON: In other words, if
- that person calls in, that would be enough to have a

1		י ב			_1		+1 + O
1	quorum	11	we	dor	aown	τo	tnat?

- DR. MARY HARRINGTON: And that was
- 3 one of our reasons for doing that because sometimes we
- 4 have to go so long to even get the minutes approved.
- 5 (Whereupon Jim Miller is in attendance.)
- BRENDA CUNNINGHAM: You all can
- 7 decide whether you want to keep that. I think it was
- 8 brought up last month or last meeting to allow for
- 9 people when they're traveling to be able to attend the
- 10 meeting via the conference line.
- 11 DR. JOHN SPAIN: I think the five
- 12 three paragraph looks good.
- DR. MARY HARRINGTON: I move that we
- -- what you call this -- the amendment to the bylaws
- 15 be approved as printed.
- MONTY CLENDENIN: Second.
- 17 DR. BARRY COX: Any discussion? All
- 18 in favor? All opposed, same sign? Motion carried.
- 19 Any further discussion on the member
- 20 attendance?
- 21 BRENDA CUNNINGHAM: At the last
- 22 meeting -- it's hard on Dr. Cox. He hasn't been here
- in a while, so I'll try to catch you up.

1	Last meeting, it was brought up,
2	according to the bylaws, I have to let the RAB know
3	when a member hasn't been in attendance for a while,
4	if he misses four meetings in a year. Mr. Conroy
5	hasn't been able to be here since April of '05, and it
6	was brought up that he be changed from a regular
7	member to an ex officio member, and he will not have a
8	vote if you all move him to ex officio.
9	He is fine with that. Dr. Spain has
10	talked to him about it. I talked to Mr. Conroy, and
11	he would like to be made ex officio. So you all can
12	either make him ex officio, do nothing, or vote him
13	off the RAB completely. Those are your choices.
14	DR. JOHN SPAIN: There was some
15	comments made that Pete might be useful in that I
16	hate to refer to him as useful, but
17	JIM MILLER: I'm sure he wouldn't be
18	offended.
19	DR. JOHN SPAIN: He might be useful
20	to the RAB at some point in time.
21	PENN WILSON: Yeah, but he may not
22	actually be here at the meeting, but we might be able
23	to reach out and touch him and get his counsel on

1	something. I'm inclined to favor that ex officio.
2	DR. BARRY COX: Would you like to
3	put that in the form of a motion?
4	PENN WILSON: Yes, sir. I so move
5	that Pete Conroy be made an ex officio member of RAB
6	Board.
7	DR. JOHN SPAIN: I second that.
8	DR. BARRY COX: Seconded?
9	DR. JOHN SPAIN: Second.
10	DR. BARRY COX: Any discussion?
11	DR. MIKE KIMBERLY: I know I'm a
12	neophyte at this, and I read the minutes. Thank you
13	for sending them to my home. I read them.
14	My question would be: If you had
15	another member under here, would you do the same thing
16	for that other member, make them an ex officio? Do
17	they have the same I guess my question is: Do all
18	members have the same weight, or are they needed as
19	Mr. Conroy is needed? My point is: Would you do this
20	for someone else who was continually out?
21	If you wouldn't, then you're setting a
22	different precedence. If you would, then there's no
23	reason to even have a roll call because you're going

1	to	end	up	with	а	lot	of	folks	who	maybe	just	ex
---	----	-----	----	------	---	-----	----	-------	-----	-------	------	----

- 2 officio members.
- JIM MILLER: Well --
- DR. MARY HARRINGTON: We offer them
- 5 the opportunity, and some of them desire not to
- 6 attend.
- 7 DR. MIKE KIMBERLY: Well, I guess my
- 8 point is: When John and I talked about me coming on
- 9 this board, my comment to him was: If I can't be
- 10 useful by attending the meetings, then I shouldn't be
- 11 there in the first place.
- 12 Now, if I'm important enough not to come
- to the meetings, then I've lost the definition of
- importance.
- JIM MILLER: Well, I think the
- 16 feeling of the RAB was that we couldn't let the
- 17 continual absences go unnoticed. At the same time,
- 18 realistically, very few other people have the stature
- 19 and the environmental contacts with the governmental
- 20 officials that Pete does, and it's useful to the RAB,
- 21 so we don't want to alienate him, but I think it's
- 22 completely legitimate.
- DR. MIKE KIMBERLY: Have we made a

1	distinct effort to get him here yet?
2	JIM MILLER: Oh, we have.
3	DR. JOHN SPAIN: We have. I don't
4	think he has any interest in getting
5	DR. MIKE KIMBERLY: Does that not
6	say something to the board? I'm not trying to play
7	I'm just trying to go by what I think you have here in
8	terms of bylaws. Don't misunderstand me. Again, I'm
9	a neophyte. You can tell me to shut up any time you
10	get ready to because I'm brand new here.
11	But if I'm not interested enough in the
12	board to come you meet four times a year then I
13	don't know how strongly I'm going to lobby for you.
14	JIM MILLER: Well, I tell you, if
15	you'd have been here for a little while, you'd
16	recognize that the difficulty is not in people wanting
17	to be members and being turned away. The difficulty
18	is getting members, period and particularly getting
19	them to show up. We've fought with that ever since I
20	was involved in it.
21	My feeling is still that Pete's a
22	valuable asset to this organization or board, and we
23	should keep him in whatever capacity that we can get

-	
1	him.
_	

- 2 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: And even
- 3 though it's four times a year, it's really difficult
- 4 for some people.
- Now, I missed another meeting tonight to
- 6 come here because this is early. We normally meet the
- 7 third Monday, and I always miss the third Monday in
- 8 July because it takes place when a conference does
- 9 that I have to attend with my job.
- 10 DR. MIKE KIMBERLY: Please don't
- 11 misunderstand my comment.
- DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Oh, I
- 13 understand.
- DR. MIKE KIMBERLY: This is an
- organizational question, not a personal question.
- 16 DR. JOHN SPAIN: I do believe we
- 17 decided to treat Pete differently. If I was to quit
- 18 coming, I'm not of a regular value to the --
- DR. MARY HARRINGTON: We would call
- you until you tell us don't call you no more.
- 21 DR. JOHN SPAIN: But realistically,
- 22 to be any kind of special status, but there very well
- 23 might be some things that Pete might be able to do for

1	the RAB down the road, and to keep him in that special
2	status, I think, we felt like it would be a useful
3	thing to the board. Even though he's not making any
4	current contribution, we might be able to reach out to
5	him to get him to make some kind of special
6	contribution in the future that we would not be able
7	to do if we totally removed him from the board.
8	MONTY CLENDENIN: I have a similar
9	concern that's even larger, and that is the clout that
10	this board has, period. Back when we had the Army in
11	relationship with the JPA and this committee, we had
12	somebody's feet to hold to the fire, but I don't know
13	really what if we made a recommendation or
14	suggestion, I don't know what kind of clout we carry
15	in the community anyway, all of us collectively. So I
16	wonder about the status that this committee serves,
17	period, not just Pete, all of us.
18	CURTIS FRANKLIN: In regard to
19	Pete's status, a lot of your concerns were discussed
20	when this first came up a couple of meetings ago, so
21	you are not working any new ground with your
22	questions. Those all came up.

DR. MIKE KIMBERLY: Back to his

23

1	~;; c a + i c n	I'm not	_	mombon	o f	+ha	TD7	h+	T 1	hoon
1	question.	T III HOU	a	member	OT	LIIE	UPA,	Dut	T . AG	been

- 2 here 13 months, something like that. I have not
- 3 missed a JPA meeting, either market meeting, budget
- 4 meeting, or regular meeting. I have no vote, I have
- 5 no -- but I am concerned enough with my community, and
- 6 I've got one tomorrow evening at 5:30. I will be
- 7 there because I represent 22 people on Buckner Circle
- 8 who's homeowners. I want to know what, why, if,
- 9 where, and so forth.
- 10 And although I'm not long-term Calhoun
- 11 County person, I do speak up, and I do ask questions,
- 12 and I do try to get things cleared so that -- and I'm
- 13 not on that board, but I have a concern about what's
- going on in my community, so I meet every time they
- do. In fact, I've even been asked once not to come,
- but that's neither here nor there.
- 17 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: That didn't
- 18 stop you.
- 19 DR. MIKE KIMBERLY: I just think
- 20 it's important. Part of your validity and your
- 21 activity and your worthiness is created by you.
- 22 Again, I'm a neophyte, but I've been on
- a multitude of boards, and I've been on boards of

1	la a sa la a				<b>-</b> lo -			+ la	la -
Τ.	banks,	creait	unions,	and	tne	military,	and	those	WIIO

- are effective are those who have active boards.
- 3 MONTY CLENDENIN: I'm not saying
- 4 we're not active. We're just ineffective. For
- 5 example, the EPA has not been here in over two years.
- 6 Well, if we had an environmental issue and we need to
- 7 bring it to their attention, how does this committee
- 8 -- we have tried to get them to come, and they don't,
- 9 so where is our muscle?
- 10 DR. MIKE KIMBERLY: How many letters
- 11 have you written EPA?
- 12 MONTY CLENDENIN: None at this --
- JIM MILLER: Now, that's not exactly
- 14 true.
- DR. BARRY COX: Yeah, we have.
- DR. MIKE KIMBERLY: I have dealt
- 17 with EPA for 28 years. You can get them to come if
- 18 you write enough and write it to the right person.
- 19
  JIM MILLER: Personally, I'm not
- 20 sure that it's a good investment of tax payer dollars
- 21 for them to drive over from Atlanta for what we
- 22 normally do here. But I think as long as you meet --
- if we have an issue that comes up, I don't have much

- 1 doubt that if we brought the pressure to them, they'd
- 2 send somebody.
- 3 DR. JOHN SPAIN: I think they would
- 4 respond. I think our muscle would be in the issue.
- JIM MILLER: That's right.
- 6 DR. JOHN SPAIN: I think they would
- 7 respond if the issue was significant.
- 8 DR. MIKE KIMBERLY: I just think
- 9 it's good to have this kind of dialogue, and again, if
- 10 I'm out of pocket, I apologize.
- 11 ROBERT JACKSON: Let me ask this
- 12 question: I think I've been on this board three or
- four years. Pete Conroy has never been here. How
- many times have we called on Pete Conroy in the last
- 15 four years?
- 16 JIM MILLER: He has been here from
- 17 time to time.
- 18 ROBERT JACKSON: Well, not when I'm
- 19 here. Now, of course, there's been times I haven't
- 20 been here.
- JIM MILLER: Well, now, he came one
- 22 time, I know.
- 23 ROBERT JACKSON: My question really

1	i ~ ·	LIOTA	mante	+ imoa	haa	+hia	hoord	called	on	him	for
<b>上</b>	TS •	HOW	illally	LIMES	IIas	CIIIS	Doard	Called	OH	$111$ $\pm$ 111	LOI

- 2 help?
- 3 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: A few times we
- 4 have.
- 5 ROBERT JACKSON: I don't know. I'm
- 6 just asking.
- 7 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: I'm one of the
- 8 originals, so a few times --
- 9 DR. JOHN SPAIN: Have we already
- 10 voted -- have we got post-vote discussion going on
- 11 here?
- 12 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: That's what
- we're doing.
- DR. JOHN SPAIN: Well, I suggest
- 15 that --
- DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Now, have we
- 17 voted?
- DR. BARRY COX: No. We're having
- 19 discussion.
- DR. JOHN SPAIN: Oh. I though we
- 21 had I's --
- DR. BARRY COX: We've had a motion
- and a second, and now we're in the discussion phase.

1	JIM MILLER: I would make the
2	comment that I don't believe in burning bridges, and I
3	don't see any point in burning bridges to make a
4	point. If we wanted to kick people off this board,
5	there wouldn't anybody sitting around this table still
6	be here. These are the people that show up
7	consistently and take an interest, and whether, you
8	know, somebody shows up every time or not, I'm not
9	I could care less.
10	CURTIS FRANKLIN: Are we burning the
11	bridge, or is he burning the bridge?
12	JIM MILLER: Well, Pete
13	DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Have we not
14	had at least two or three people to speak for or
15	against? I would like to bring the question up for a
16	vote.
17	PENN WILSON: If I could speak one
18	more time before we vote. Just speaking for myself,
19	but it was said earlier in the discussion about are
20	there different weights given to board members based
21	on their expertise or whatever. I'm just I guess
22	I'm speaking for myself, and the mission and functions
23	of this kind of a board, to me, there's a value in

1	having a connection with Pete Conroy, who has a lot of
2	environmental contacts and expertise. I would weight
3	him rather high.
4	Me, I work in the business school at
5	Jacksonville State. I'm not really heavy duty in the
6	environmental issues. I'd probably weight myself a
7	little lower down on the scale, so that's what I have
8	to say on the issue.
9	JIM MILLER: If we were to have an
10	issue that we needed to take up at a high level with
11	the Army or the Pentagon or the Secretary of Defense
12	or whatever, and we had to pick one individual who
13	would be heard, it would probably be Pete Conroy, and
14	I don't think that we need to lose that. We may not
15	ever have that occasion. We may go along here without
16	having any problems and things go like they always

have and not have any problem and not have, Monty, and

not much happen, but for that one occasion when we may

take issue, we want to get something done about it or

get somebody to hear us, I think Pete is probably the

most capable individual as far as getting somebody to

listen. I'm not going to make any further comment

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

about it.

1	DR. MIKE KIMBERLY: I would like the
2	record to show that I was not against or for voting
3	Pete Conroy off the RAB. I was simply bringing
4	questions forward to get this group to think about the
5	situation they create or not create.
6	I know Pete well. I see Pete almost
7	every day or so, talk to him. I just want to make
8	sure that we're doing what we think is the correct
9	thing to do.
10	DR. JOHN SPAIN: I don't think we
11	create an issue by treating Pete Conroy differently.
12	JIM MILLER: Frankly, I wish it
13	would create an issue.
14	DR. MIKE KIMBERLY: Well, I've had
15	some private conversations with Pete, which I'll keep
16	to myself, but I just wanted to make sure this is what
17	we want to do.
18	DR. JOHN SPAIN: Did you have a
19	motion?
20	DR. MARY HARRINGTON: I call for
21	vote.
22	DR. BARRY COX: Since it's obviously

going to be a split vote, let's do it by a show of

23

1	hands.	All	in	favor	

- 2 MONTY CLENDENIN: Would you repeat
- 3 the motion?
- DR. BARRY COX: The motion is --
- 5 would you read the motion exactly as stated?
- 6 BRENDA CUNNINGHAM: Can you do that?
- 7 THE COURT REPORTER: Which part of
- 8 it do you want?
- 9 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: The part that
- 10 makes him --
- 11 THE COURT REPORTER: Ex officio?
- DR. MARY HARRINGTON: That's it.
- DR. JOHN SPAIN: I think that's
- 14 fine. I'll just -- I move to make Pete Conroy ex
- 15 officio status.
- MONTY CLENDENIN: That's good enough
- for me.
- DR. BARRY COX: So the yea vote on
- 19 this will make Pete Conroy an ex officio non-voting
- 20 member. A nay vote, at this point, doesn't do
- 21 anything but not give him that status.
- 22 All in favor?
- BRENDA CUNNINGHAM: Ten.

1	DR. BARRY COX: All opposed?
2	BRENDA CUNNINGHAM: None.
3	DR. MARY HARRINGTON: There's got to
4	be some abstentions then, if there are no oppose.
5	BRENDA CUNNINGHAM: Those members
6	can't vote, the ADEM, they don't have a vote.
7	DR. MARY HARRINGTON: I know they
8	don't, but did everybody else vote?
9	ROBERT JACKSON: I abstain.
10	DR. BARRY COX: We have two
11	abstentions, is that correct, two abstentions?
12	DR. MARY HARRINGTON: I think you're
13	right.
14	DR. BARRY COX: Anything else under
15	old business that we need to cover?
16	BRENDA CUNNINGHAM: That does bring
17	up another issue. You all do need another member now
18	since Mr. Conroy has been and we do have a
19	nomination here. I'll pass out the information.
20	Dr. Spain nominated this gentleman.
21	If you'd like to tell a little about
22	him while
23	DR. JOHN SPAIN: I don't know how

1	many of you know Gene Howard. I guess he's lived in
2	Anniston all his life. He now lives in Jacksonville.
3	I met him early on when I moved into this area, and he
4	is retired from Goodyear. He's written three books on
5	the history of the area. He's very knowledgeable
6	about the social issues and the environmental issues.
7	I've asked him about if he would be
8	interested and asked him about his commitment for
9	meetings, and he has indicated that he would like to
10	become a member and that he would be committed to
11	attendance and to be active on the board.
12	So with that, I would nominate Gene to
13	fill the vacancy for Pete Conroy.
14	MONTY CLENDENIN: I would second his
15	nomination. He is officially a member of my
16	congregation, but he has recently taken his
17	congregation up in Piedmont out of the Presbyterian
18	church, USA, but I still like him. But he would be a
19	very good asset to this committee. He's not afraid to
20	ask hard questions. I'd second that.
21	DR. BARRY COX: We have a second.
22	Any further discussion? All in favor of Mr. Howard

becoming a member of the board, please signify by

23

1	saying I.	All oppose	by the sam	e sign.	Motion
---	-----------	------------	------------	---------	--------

- 2 carried unanimous.
- 3 Do we have anything else under old
- 4 business then?
- 5 Okay. I guess that brings us to new
- 6 business and agency reports. ADEM does not have a
- 7 report. Is that a fair statement?
- 8 BRANDY LITTLE: I do.
- DR. BARRY COX: You do. Not
- 10 ADEM -- excuse me -- EPA. Let's try the ADEM first.
- 11 BRANDY LITTLE: In your packet is
- 12 the ADEM handout, and it just lists the documents that
- 13 we received since the last RAB meeting, and the
- 14 reviews that we've completed and the meetings we've
- attended, and I guess the highlight is: We have
- 16 completed more than we have received, so I guess
- that's a good thing. That pretty much sums it up.
- 18 (Whereupon Ed Kimbrough is in attendance.)
- DR. BARRY COX: Any notable things,
- 20 as far as this report, as far as what you found or
- 21 didn't find?
- 22 BRANDY LITTLE: Not really, just
- working hard.

DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Dr. Cox, I

1

_	Die. Finel inneeliele Di. Cox, 1
2	think we should make sure we let our minutes show that
3	Mr. Miller and Mr. Kimbrough did get in during the
4	meeting.
5	DR. BARRY COX: It will.
6	Anybody have any thoughts or comments on
7	the ADEM handouts?
8	DR. JOHN SPAIN: Yeah. Sometimes it
9	seems like we have these reports, and then we just
10	there's no discussion.
11	DR. BARRY COX: I agree.
12	DR. JOHN SPAIN: We ask, is there
13	anything significant, and there's nothing significant.
14	I would like to see more of a summary of a verbal
15	summary at the meetings, so it might spur any type of
16	discussion that might need to come out of it rather
17	than getting into the habit that we've gotten into of
18	just saying, here's a handout and go with that.
19	BRANDY LITTLE: Okay. We'll
20	definitely work on that.
21	DR. BARRY COX: So that what you're
22	asking is clear, a narrative of what this really
23	means?

1	DR. JOHN SPAIN: Yes.
2	We wouldn't want to put you on the spot
3	this time, but maybe at the next meeting, maybe we can
4	start something like that and actually have some
5	verbal summary and perhaps some dialogue back and
6	forth about it?
7	BRANDY LITTLE: Absolutely.
8	DR. JOHN SPAIN: I think that
9	Monty's comment about the board and the standing of
10	the board, I think that these are some of the things
11	that might improve that standing some, if we started
12	to be more involved in some of the things that we
13	actually do. I don't know whether that makes sense or
14	not, but it I would like to see that as opposed to
15	us just going on.
16	DR. BARRY COX: Just an example,
17	perhaps, if I go on to page two under completed
18	reviews, it says, "Army's response of ADEM comments on
19	remedial investigation." Did ADEM simply review that,
20	or did they have response back to the Army's comments?
21	BRANDY LITTLE: We initially sent
22	comments, and they responded back, and, yes, sir, in
23	the status column, we sent them back comments or

1		~ =	+ la - d	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
1	evaluations	OT	uneir	comments.

- DR. BARRY COX: And that would
- 3 probably be an interesting thing for us to see. What
- 4 were your comments back to the Army? What were the
- 5 issues that you had with their response?
- 6 BRANDY LITTLE: Oh mercy, they were
- 7 numerous.
- 8 DR. BARRY COX: I guess that's what
- 9 we would like to see.
- 10 BRANDY LITTLE: Okay.
- DR. BARRY COX: If you read this, I
- 12 think I could read this and say, everything is cool.
- There's a response, and we just went on, and you
- 14 commented back, but if you had issue with what they're
- telling you, then I think that's what we would like to
- 16 know. That's what I would like to know. And you
- 17 could also separate the big ones from the little ones.
- 18 You know, you can say, this one is kind of nit
- 19 picking. We don't particularly like this, or one that
- 20 says, we think this is a big deal. Would that be --
- 21 DR. JOHN SPAIN: Absolutely.
- 22 BRANDY LITTLE: Under our meetings,
- 23 that's what led to one of our meetings with the -- it

1	was before, I think, the last RAB meeting when we had
2	our contractors to meet with Army contractors to
3	discuss the remedial investigations for Iron Mountain
4	Road and Bain's Gap Road, but I think that was maybe
5	the week before the last RAB meeting, so it didn't get
6	on this one. It was on the last one.
7	DR. BARRY COX: Now, are these open
8	meetings? For example, if some of the RAB members
9	were interested in a particular issue, would they be
10	allowed to sit in and listen to the discussion back
11	and forth between the contractors and ADEM and the
12	Army?
13	BRANDY LITTLE: I'm not quite sure.
14	I don't know.
15	LISA HOLSTEIN: I don't either. We
16	can refer that question to Scott Bolton next week.
17	DR. BARRY COX: Okay. Anybody else
18	have any thoughts on the ADEM report?
19	ED KIMBROUGH: I got a question on
20	the changes on the memorandum of agreement in here.
21	BRANDY LITTLE: Are you under

22 conference calls?

23

P.O. BOX 544
OHATCHEE, AL 36271
256-892-0591
FAX 256-892-3001

ED KIMBROUGH: Yes.

1	BRANDY LITTLE: Right. Under
2	documents received, we received a revised version of a
3	memorandum of agreement.
4	Is it revised on a regular basis, Lisa,
5	the MOA?
6	LISA HOLSTEIN: Which MOA are we
7	talking about?
8	BRANDY LITTLE: Between the Army and
9	ADEM.
10	LISA HOLSTEIN: For the ESCA?
11	BRANDY LITTLE: Uh-huh.
12	LISA HOLSTEIN: No. It's revised
13	every time the ESCA is changed.
14	BRANDY LITTLE: Okay. And I believe
15	we just had minor changes that were made to that.
16	LISA HOLSTEIN: Well, the MOA, it
17	just added when the ESCA changed, the JPA accepted
18	responsibility for additional sites, and the Army
19	funded those sites, and the MOA just reflects who's
20	responsible for which sites.
21	ED KIMBROUGH: When you say,
22	"funding," this is really my question: Funding from
23	the Army is giving to ADEM to assist them in reviewing

1	these?
2	LISA HOLSTEIN: Oh, we're talking
3	about a different MOA?
4	ED KIMBROUGH: No. This is my
5	question. That's not what it's about.
6	LISA HOLSTEIN: Funding for ADEM is
7	through an MOA as well, a different MOA.
8	ED KIMBROUGH: And it's separate
9	from JPA?
10	LISA HOLSTEIN: Correct.
11	ED KIMBROUGH: So there's money
12	coming from the ARMY, and there's money coming from
13	the JPA to ADEM?
14	LISA HOLSTEIN: No.
15	ED KIMBROUGH: Well, from the Army
16	with the land to the JPA?
17	LISA HOLSTEIN: Correct. The Army
18	funds ADEM oversights of JPA projects.
19	ED KIMBROUGH: And there were, like
20	three and a half individuals have funded for that
21	LISA HOLSTEIN: That I wouldn't
22	know.

BRANDY LITTLE: We have --

23

1	LISA HOLSTEIN: We're working on a
2	new MOA with them.
3	ED KIMBROUGH: Okay. I knew there
4	were some questions on
5	LISA HOLSTEIN: And we worked with
6	JPA on that as well, as far as schedules for reports
7	that are coming out. So we put our schedule together,
8	the JPA puts their schedule together, and ADEM
9	evaluates that schedule and determines how many people
10	they'll need to be funded to oversee the work.
11	ED KIMBROUGH: That's all.
12	DR. BARRY COX: I guess by the same
13	token, we would also like to see a summary of the
14	issues from the JPA comments as well as the ones from
15	the Army.
16	BRANDY LITTLE: Okay.
17	DR. BARRY COX: Anybody else have
18	any thoughts on the summary sheet?
19	Okay. If I recall, many times in the
20	past, the Army and ADEM, at this meeting would sit
21	there, and they'd discuss their positions on
22	particular issues and disagreements.
23	I guess now we go to the JPA.

1	MICHELLE KLOMP: Well, you've got
2	your handouts in front of you, and I'll just run
3	through the sites there, and speak up if you have
4	questions.
5	We start out with the Landfill 3 in the
6	fill area northwest of Reilly. We've got two
7	different things going on up there at this point.
8	Pretty much in December, we completed the substantial
9	work on the completion of a landfill cap on both of
10	those properties. We put a park area in on the
11	Northwest Reilly area, and Landfill 3 just has a
12	pretty looking cap on top of it.
13	We've also begun work on a groundwater
14	remediation plan for that site. We have a groundwater
15	plume that's fairly large and entertaining, so we've
16	hired on a contractor to put together a corrective
17	implementation plan for that property.
18	DR. BARRY COX: And this is
19	MICHELLE KLOMP: Landfill 3 and the
20	fill area northwest of Reilly, which are the very
21	northern part of the base there.
22	JIM MILLER: What's the contaminate
23	of concern there?

1	MICHELLE KLOMP: In the groundwater?
2	JIM MILLER: Uh-huh.
3	MICHELLE KLOMP: Mostly volatile
4	organic carbon compound.
5	DR. BARRY COX: Which ones?
6	MICHELLE KLOMP: You want the whole
7	list? It was a landfill.
8	DR. BARRY COX: I'm talking about
9	the major issues. The major
10	MICHELLE KLOMP: TCE is one of the
11	bigger drivers. I don't know the rest of the
12	JIM MILLER: What type of technology
13	are you going to be using? Do you know that?
14	MICHELLE KLOMP: We don't know that
15	yet. That's what the contractor is evaluating right
16	now. The process of a corrective measures
17	implementation plan is to evaluate most reasonable
18	alternatives and choose the most appropriate for the
19	site, and so that's where we are right now.
20	DR. BARRY COX: What levels of TCE
21	are you finding?
22	MICHELLE KLOMP: I don't know.
23	DR. MIKE KIMBERLY: Do you all

1	contract that out to who does the testing?
2	MICHELLE KLOMP: The testing is
3	either done by the contractor or by us. It just
4	depends on the site.
5	DR. BARRY COX: Could we see a copy
6	of the data that shows us what compounds are there and
7	what levels?
8	MICHELLE KLOMP: Yes, sir.
9	DR. BARRY COX: Since we got a
10	geologist over here on the panel, I thought that would
11	be very interesting.
12	DR. MIKE KIMBERLY: In the
13	contracting out, if you do the contracting out of the
14	work, you have a let me see how I want to ask this.
15	Do you have a border as to when you can contract out?
16	Does it have to be within Calhoun County? Is it in
17	Georgia?
18	The reason I ask is: The State of
19	Alabama has a lab, environmental lab that is pretty
20	well equip, and I'm sure a contract with the companies
21	who are doing the sampling, they could do it a heck of
22	a lot cheaper than a private laboratory and probably
23	save some money in that contract. Being that I ran an

1	environmental	lab	for	27	years,	I	know	they	can	do	it
---	---------------	-----	-----	----	--------	---	------	------	-----	----	----

- 2 cheaper than an outside independent lab.
- 3 MICHELLE KLOMP: Currently, we're
- 4 using a lab that's not based in the state of Alabama.
- 5 We actually started using them when we joined onto the
- 6 project because they were the -- they have a
- 7 historical knowledge of this property that can't be
- 8 beat, and the consistency that we gain in having the
- 9 same laboratory run all of our tests is a huge plus
- 10 for us right now.
- 11 We are always keeping an eye on their
- 12 cost, and whenever they do seem to be a little high,
- we just talk to them about it, and we are always open
- 14 to trying out new things.
- DR. MIKE KIMBERLY: Well, if they
- 16 have a contract, then they have a cost that's enclosed
- in that contract unless your breaking contract.
- 18 MICHELLE KLOMP: We don't -- we've
- 19 been working with them since 2003, and they were out
- 20 here before that, so costs go up occasionally.
- 21 DR. MIKE KIMBERLY: I was just
- 22 thinking in the sense that I know that the State of
- 23 Alabama has a good environmental lab. They have

Τ	modern	equipment,	and	tney	nave	werr-	-trained	реорте	111

- their lab, and they could probably do it several
- dollars cheaper than a private outside lab. A spec
- 4 lab is going to charge you for things, like, if you
- 5 say, we need that in 44 hours, and they give it back
- 6 to you in 24 hours. If you go to a private lab,
- 7 they're going to stick you with a 2 or \$300 fee on
- 8 that.
- 9 That's one way of looking at trying to
- 10 save money. The more money you have, you can do other
- 11 things with it in the area.
- 12 MICHELLE KLOMP: Yep.
- DR. MIKE KIMBERLY: That's a point.
- 14 I also noted that in the minutes of last
- 15 week, there was a lot of stuff about -- a conversation
- about what you had tested for, and you put something
- and then "others." What are the others?
- 18 MICHELLE KLOMP: I'm not sure what
- 19 you're talking about.
- DR. MIKE KIMBERLY: It's in the
- 21 minutes. There was discussion about some of the
- testing what was going on, and it mentions TCEs, and
- 23 then it just says "others." I was just concerned

1	about	what	the	others	are,	if	they	were	looking	for	it

- 2 or not looking for it or --
- 3 MICHELLE KLOMP: Do you remember
- 4 which site it was?
- DR. MIKE KIMBERLY: I can go back
- 6 through here --
- 7 MICHELLE KLOMP: Well, I'll be at
- 8 the board meeting tomorrow, so --
- 9 DR. MIKE KIMBERLY: Okay.
- 10 ED KIMBROUGH: On Landfill 3, I've
- 11 kind of been involved in that from day one because
- when I was mayor of Weaver, there was some questions
- 13 about the contaminations of our water, which that is
- not now, but I think the Army was the first ones -- I
- 15 know the Army was, because the base wasn't closed when
- 16 this first came to our attention.
- 17 The Army originally did the testing, and
- 18 we received the information on what they found and the
- 19 directions they were going, and I think they came in
- and even showed us how the geological studies had gone
- 21 and layers, and where the faults were and all that.
- 22 And it has been left between the JPA and the Army to
- 23 handle the testing. I think, JPA, when they took it

1	over, drilled more wells to determine where the
2	perimeter was and how far it was going, and it was
3	determined at that time that the flow of contamination
4	had moved basically to Highway 21, but it was not
5	moving east to west. It was moving north at a very
6	slow rate, and the further it got away, the less the
7	strength of the contaminates were in the groundwater,
8	and I think y'all tested some going toward
9	Jacksonville and found nothing, so
10	MICHELLE KLOMP: Dr. Spain, did you
11	have a question, too?
12	DR. JOHN SPAIN: I did. I certainly
13	wouldn't want you to take any of these comments
14	personally
15	MICHELLE KLOMP: It's good to hear.
16	DR. JOHN SPAIN: because I like
17	the discussion. I believe you and I talked about it
18	at the other meeting. The other thing I would like to
19	ask you is: Are there any other issues that this
20	board would have interest in that would not be
21	something that would be covered by Matrix, but that
22	I guess what I'm trying to get to, should we have a
23	representative here from the JPA as well as a

1	representative here from Matrix? I don't really
2	understand the representation here because if there's
3	other issues, then I'm not sure that you can fully
4	speak for the JPA.
5	MICHELLE KLOMP: I actually do have
6	one issue that's on the bottom of my list of things
7	that's not on your handout, but it's on my mental list
8	of things to talk about today that has nothing to do
9	really with Matrix. It's just an issue that JPA, that
10	they have outstanding. I always check in with them
11	and let them know that I'm coming to the meeting and
12	ask them if they have anything in particular that they
13	need brought up.
14	DR. JOHN SPAIN: I'm not sure that
15	we shouldn't write a letter to the JPA asking to have
16	a representative be present at the meetings that can
17	speak for the JPA. I do not wish to exclude you
18	because I wouldn't like to hear second handed from the
19	JPA officials all of these issues that you covered
20	because they're probably much more important, but from
21	time to time we do not have an opportunity to ask
22	the JPA representative specific questions.

DR. BARRY COX: Do you want to make

1			<b>-</b> 1	£ ~	~ ~	_	
1	i nai	1 F1	1 110	I () P'III	()	$\sim$	motion?

- DR. JOHN SPAIN: Perhaps discussion
- 3 first.
- DR. BARRY COX: Okay.
- 5 ED KIMBROUGH: Let me say,
- 6 Dr. Spain, I serve on the PM committee for the JPA,
- 7 which we're the ones that review contracts, review
- 8 information, and all like this, and sometimes I do
- 9 find it very interesting the difference in the
- 10 information that I get between the two. But the PM
- 11 committee meeting, it's open to the public, and
- 12 anybody can come to that, and we do have some members
- that do come to it. And I was thinking, the JPA, I
- don't know -- you don't have but -- now, you don't
- 15 have but an accountant and Dan Cleckler and
- 16 Mickey Snider, and that's it.
- 17 MICHELLE KLOMP: JPA is a little
- 18 short on staff.
- 19 ED KIMBROUGH: There's only three
- 20 people employed by the JPA.
- 21 MICHELLE KLOMP: And they still have
- 22 Scott, but he's not been well.
- 23 ED KIMBROUGH: And if you wanted

1	somebody, Mickey is the one that's probably involved
2	in
3	STEVE YOUNG: Well, Perhaps it would
4	be useful if you don't mind a comment from the back
5	row
6	THE COURT REPORTER: Could I get
7	your name, sir?
8	STEVE YOUNG: Steve Young.
9	I'm the program manager for Matrix.
10	Perhaps it would be useful to explain the nature of
11	our relationship with the JPA because I think maybe
12	that's gotten lost or lost over the years. We have
13	been hired by the JPA to be essentially their arms and
14	eyes and ears and brains for environmental issues.
15	Our contract is not specific to the ESCA and the
16	ESCA-related issues.
17	So, Dr. Spain, I'm not sure what your
18	concerns are. I just want you to understand that if
19	there are environmental issues outside of the ESCA,
20	outside of things that Michelle's reporting on, we
21	could certainly help, and we're happy to do that,

address those on behalf of the JPA or help this group

understand what they might be or address questions or

22

43

4			
1	take	questions	back

- I also don't think that the JPA would be
- a first to have someone here. I think the problem on
- 4 their side is more, as we've indicated, they're just
- short staffed, but certainly, we're not limited in any
- 6 way to addressing only the ESCA issues. I just wanted
- 7 to clear up that part. We're not contractually bound
- 8 not to talk about anything else. We have certainly
- 9 pitched in and helped them outside of ESCA issues as
- 10 well.
- DR. JOHN SPAIN: Thank you very
- 12 much.
- DR. BARRY COX: Further discussion?
- DR. JOHN SPAIN: Well, would you say
- 15 then that there are no other issues that the JPA would
- 16 have that you all would not cover on behalf of the
- 17 JPA?
- 18 STEVE YOUNG: In the relevant
- 19 environmental questions, I don't believe so. We have
- in the past advised them on phase-one activities for
- 21 properties that were not part of the clean-up process.
- We have advised them on mold issues, lead-based paint.
- You know, if there's some specific question you have

1	in mind
2	DR. JOHN SPAIN: No, I don't have
3	any.
4	MICHELLE KLOMP: Or if you want to
5	get into marketing or property sales
6	STEVE YOUNG: Right. Now, that kind
7	of stuff, we wouldn't. So if you wanted some input
8	from the JPA on things like marketing or sales or some
9	of their other initiatives, then, you know I'm a
10	formally long-haired country boy turned
11	hydrogeologist. I can't help with marketing. Things
12	like that, you would be definitely need a JPA person.
13	MONTY CLENDENIN: Is the JPA
14	interested in anything beyond the clean up and the
15	environmental issues, such as preservation issues of
16	historic sites and everything like that?
17	STEVE YOUNG: Absolutely.
18	MONTY CLENDENIN: I'd like to, later
19	on when we finish this discussion, ask you a question.
20	DR. JOHN SPAIN: It seems like
21	that's a part of this discussion. If there's issues
22	that are addressable by this board that are outside of
23	what Matrix normally does for the JPA, that's what I'm

1	trying to get to here. Should we ask a member of the
2	JPA to attend?
3	I mean, I don't think, this board it
4	means anything to this board whether they're short
5	handed. I mean, if we need them, we need them,
6	whether it's shorted handed or whether it's not short
7	handed, that's really not an issue. They might not be
8	able to come, but if they should be a part of us,
9	then I think they should be.
10	MONTY CLENDENIN: That sort of gets
11	to what I was saying about our clout. Scott is
12	normally here, so we've normally got the Army to ask,
13	but typically, the JPA is not, and if the Army is not
14	here, and the JPA is not here, and the EPA is not
15	here, then who do we address our questions and
16	concerns to? That's kind of what I was trying to get
17	at about our clout.
18	We can ask all the questions in the
19	world, but if we don't have anybody to ask them to,
20	we're just entertaining ourselves.
21	ED KIMBROUGH: I think what Steve
22	was saying is we hire Matrix, and they're working for

the JPA. As far as the environmental issues, they're

1	the ones that now, as far as other issues, then it
2	would be the whole JPA such as properties and things
3	like that, but as far as environmental and all like
4	that, they are like our we contract with them for
5	administration of the clean up and for the bidding and
6	for the analysis of what needs to be done and all like
7	that.
8	So, you know, I think it depends on the
9	questions, because if it's environment, they're going
10	to be the ones because there's no one on the JPA that
11	can go into detail like they do, but as far as the
12	issues, the historical preservation and the other,
13	then that goes to the whole board.
14	DR. BARRY COX: Just to the point of
15	clarification, don't the JPA make decisions on land
16	use, which then in turn drives the environment issues?
17	ED KIMBROUGH: Yes.
18	DR. BARRY COX: See, that's the
19	point right there.
20	ED KIMBROUGH: Right.
21	DR. BARRY COX: Because if they
22	claim it's going to be an industrial site, and they're

not going to clean it the same --

1	STEVE YOUNG: As far as addressing
2	what those land use decisions are, again, we can help
3	you with that. Because as you've already identified,
4	we're married to that. We are absolutely up on what
5	those decisions are.
6	Now, the process of deciding what's
7	industrial and what's commercial and what's
8	residential, to the extent that environmental issues
9	have to inform that planning process, we participate,
10	but the actual decisions obviously are not Matrix's.
11	That's a combination of the JPA and the City. So
12	questions like that, how did you get to that decision?
13	What were the other inputs? Certainly, archeological
14	questions, cultural resource questions, those sorts of
15	things, we can tell you what we know, but that's not
16	our charter, so our commission is that would have
17	to be a JPA question.
18	DR. JOHN SPAIN: Well, I'm not
19	making a motion, but I would suggest that we consider
20	asking for a representative of the JPA and that we ask
21	Stan Bennett, our counselman, to attend our meetings,
22	as a representative of the City, and to have a JPA
23	representative come.

1	DR. BARRY COX: You want to make
2	that here again, this is not a motion, just a
3	suggestion?
4	DR. JOHN SPAIN: Yeah, I'm putting
5	that forth, not as a motion, but before a motion is
6	made as a matter of suggestion.
7	DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Point of
8	clarification: Why would we have Stan Bennet as
9	opposed to any other council members?
10	DR. JOHN SPAIN: Well, because this
11	is his area. He's ward 1, this is ward 1. He would
12	have the I would certainly not be opposed to any of
13	the other councilmen be a part because I would like to
14	see them be a part, but I mentioned his name
15	specifically because this is his ward.
16	DR. MARY HARRINGTON: And that gives
17	him what?
18	DR. JOHN SPAIN: Well, it should
19	give him a special interest in it.
20	DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Okay.
21	DR. JOHN SPAIN: But, you know, I am
22	certainly not for I don't think we're going to get
23	the mayor to come. I think Stan should have an

1	A section and a section in	2 1-2 -		1	2 -				_	A
1	interest	in his	s area,	ana	lt	wlll	aive	us	а	conduit

- 2 into the City and into the City council if he can.
- 3 DR. BARRY COX: Okay. Where do we
- 4 go from here? I mean, if we're not --
- DR. JOHN SPAIN: Well, it's not
- 6 catching any legs, I don't think.
- 7 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: I would not
- 8 be -- this is just me, personally. I would not be
- 9 against part of what you say, but there's so many more
- 10 entities to be included when you think of McClellan
- 11 then ward 1. Now, that's just me.
- 12 DR. JOHN SPAIN: Well, I understand
- 13 exactly what you're saying. Why don't we write the
- 14 City and ask them to send us a councilman, and perhaps
- they might want to rotate it every year, something
- 16 like that?
- 17 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: You can ask
- 18 them.
- 19 DR. JOHN SPAIN: What do you think?
- DR. MARY HARRINGTON: I was just
- 21 thinking out loud.
- JIM MILLER: Just a starting point,
- 23 why don't we send a letter to the City council and

just invite them to attend the RAB and see if there's

2	any response.
3	BRENDA CUNNINGHAM: I have to tell
4	you that I send announcements to all the commissions
5	and all city councils and all the mayors and
6	everything.
7	JIM MILLER: And I'm sure it gets
8	put in the stack with all the others. If we address a
9	letter from the chairman of the RAB, it might carry a
10	little more weight, and at least we would extend an
11	invitation and ask them to participate. Now, whether
12	they do or not will be their choice.
13	DR. JOHN SPAIN: Well, it would be
14	good to write that letter so that it brings a little
15	pressure that you know, explaining our issues, what

1

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

nobody showed up.

I mean, if we're going to have any standing at all as a committee, and I'm basing this on what Monty said, we have to assume that we do have some standing and that we are important and what we're

we're trying to do, what we're trying to accomplish,

and seek their help in getting these things done so

that we would have some type of recourse back if

1	doing	here	is	important.

- DR. BARRY COX: Would you like to
- 3 e-mail Brenda a draft, and she'll work on those?
- 4 DR. JOHN SPAIN: I'll tell you what
- 5 I'll do, I'll put together a draft and perhaps send it
- 6 to Brenda, and then Brenda can send it out to the
- 7 entire board for comment.
- DR. BARRY COX: And then we can send
- 9 it out.
- DR. JOHN SPAIN: Right.
- 11 PENN WILSON: Sounds like a good
- 12 idea.
- DR. BARRY COX: Anything else on
- 14 this?
- 15 PENN WILSON: Would the JPA piece be
- built into that, or would we consider just requesting
- 17 that the JPA -- a formal request about having the JPA
- 18 representative in attendance here?
- DR. BARRY COX: Perhaps it would be
- 20 -- if we asked the JPA that one of the issues we'd
- 21 ask, then, would be so they can address the land use
- issues, which as we said, that drives the leverage you
- 23 have with the --

1	JIM MILLER: Not to be negative, but
2	I think you may be preparing yourself for a lot of
3	lack of interest because it's not the first time we've
4	been down this path.
5	DR. BARRY COX: Anything else on
6	this topic?
7	JIM MILLER: I think she had started
8	down her list
9	DR. BARRY COX: We'll move down to
10	her list then.
11	Are you ready to proceed, Mr. Kimberly?
12	DR. MIKE KIMBERLY: Pardon?
13	DR. BARRY COX: Are you ready to
14	proceed?
15	DR. MIKE KIMBERLY: With what?
16	DR. BARRY COX: With the rest of the
17	meeting.
18	DR. MIKE KIMBERLY: Yes, sir.
19	MICHELLE KLOMP: All right. Moving
20	on to T38, I'm going to just lump that in with T6.
21	These are two sites where we have solvents in
22	groundwater, and we they're pretty much a parallel

track right now. Their investigations are complete.

_	-
Ь,	~

1	We're	working	on	figuring	out	the	corrective	meası	ıres
0					_				

- 2 for those two sites. In December, we collected
- 3 groundwater samples from those two sites to get a
- 4 better picture of what it looks like today rather than
- 5 what it did look like. So that's where those two are.
- 6 DR. DAVID STEFFY: Is this type of
- 7 information public record?
- 8 MICHELLE KLOMP: It is once it's
- 9 been finalized.
- 10 DR. DAVID STEFFY: What's that mean?
- 11 MICHELLE KLOMP: Once we have ADEM's
- approval, we put it out in public record, yes. You
- can obtain it through the JPA, or you can ask me.
- DR. BARRY COX: When we're back and
- forth through the comment period, it's not public
- 16 record?
- 17 MICHELLE KLOMP: I don't know about
- 18 that.
- 19 MONTY CLENDENIN: Used to, wasn't
- 20 there a report filed at the library?
- 21 BRENDA CUNNINGHAM: They still are.
- 22 I file JPA and Matrix. I file their documents in our
- 23 repository, which is also online on the website.

LISA HOLSTEIN: We'd have to have

1

_	EIDH HODDIDIN We a have to have
2	JPA review our index to make sure that we have
3	everything that's out there.
4	JIM MILLER: How is that accessed
5	online? What's that website?
6	BRENDA CUNNINGHAM: I'll get you the
7	address.
8	DR. BARRY COX: We keep interrupting
9	you.
10	MICHELLE KLOMP: That's quite all
11	right.
12	LISA HOLSTEIN: But all the Army
13	documents are out there.
14	MICHELLE KLOMP: The next bulleted
15	item is Landfill 1, Landfill 2, and the fill area
16	north of Landfill 2. These three landfills are
17	historical legacy landfills that were closed years
18	before the base was closed. They didn't have
19	appropriate caps placed on top of them, so what we've
20	done is submitted to ADEM, and they've essentially
21	approved our plan to repair the caps on these sites
22	without doing any other major work on them.
23	ADEM did provide us with comments, and

1	their comments essentially were about essentially
2	the question you just asked, where to find public
3	record documents. So we're working on finalizing that
4	corrective measure implementation plan and capping
5	those three sites.
6	The next item is the garbage dump and
7	the fill area east of Reilly, and those two sites were
8	also included in the previous notes, but we've
9	actually begun to cap, and that's why we call that
10	separately.
11	On a side note, Landfill 1's cap has
1.0	
12	been repaired.
13	For small weapons repair shop, that is
13	For small weapons repair shop, that is
13 14	For small weapons repair shop, that is right over that way (pointing), and it's a small
13 14 15	For small weapons repair shop, that is right over that way (pointing), and it's a small contained solvent site where we had soil contamination
13 14 15 16	For small weapons repair shop, that is right over that way (pointing), and it's a small contained solvent site where we had soil contamination and groundwater contamination. The soil was
13 14 15 16 17	For small weapons repair shop, that is right over that way (pointing), and it's a small contained solvent site where we had soil contamination and groundwater contamination. The soil was stabilized last year, and we collected samples in
13 14 15 16 17	For small weapons repair shop, that is right over that way (pointing), and it's a small contained solvent site where we had soil contamination and groundwater contamination. The soil was stabilized last year, and we collected samples in December, and we're double checking the data before we
13 14 15 16 17 18	For small weapons repair shop, that is right over that way (pointing), and it's a small contained solvent site where we had soil contamination and groundwater contamination. The soil was stabilized last year, and we collected samples in December, and we're double checking the data before we commit to a corrective measure implementation at that

the location and concentrations and groundwater

1	characteristics are suitable for monitored natural
2	attenuation, so we've been collecting samples at the
3	site twice a year, and we submit what are called
4	corrective measure effectiveness reports to ADEM twice
5	a year for those sites.
6	In December, we received approval for
7	the February 2005, December 2005, and June 2006
8	corrective measure effectiveness reports. We also
9	submitted we received comments on our draft 2006
10	December corrective measures effectiveness report. We
11	committed the June 2007 one, and we conducted our
12	December 2007 sampling event. It was a busy month.
13	I'm going to lump the next three sites
14	together, too. It's the motor pool 3100, former base
15	service station, and the former washrack, Soldier's
16	Chapel, those three sites are small petroleum
17	hydrocarbon sites that has light hits in the
18	groundwater, and what we are proposing with those, we
19	conducted a groundwater sampling about a year ago, and
20	we're submitting to ADEM that these sites can receive
21	no further action with the land use control
22	prohibiting groundwater use on those sites.
23	We received comments from ADEM on all

1	three of those sites, and the main comment that they
2	had was just clarification on the land use controls.
3	The industrial landfill in Landfill 4
4	are located up near Reilly Lake. The industrial
5	landfill is actually the permitted and active landfill
6	on the base. The JPA runs it. We have submitted a
7	modification for that permit to ADEM, and it's been
8	approved, and it was for staging of future fill areas
9	and future close-out parameters for that site.
10	Landfill 4, we also it's adjacent
11	property to the industrial landfill, that's why
12	they're always lumped in together. As part of that
13	site, we conducted our annual soil gas sampling and
14	our surface water sampling.
15	Southern Alfa and MRS-1 are two of our
16	major UXO sites. They're the ones that led to the
17	opening of Bain's Gap Road last year. We are still
18	finalizing some of the paperwork for those two sites,
19	but they are essentially closed out.
20	MRS-2 and MRS-3 are our newest munitions
21	and explosive of concern sites, and they run along
22	Iron Mountain Road and what will be the Eastern
23	Bypass. We've begun work on both of those sites.

1 We've got about 80 people out in the field r	d right n	low
--	-----------	-----

- 2 working on those.
- 3 As part of the ESCA that was
- 4 renegotiated in September, we are currently reviewing
- 5 and revising our clean-up agreement with ADEM, as well
- 6 our land use control implementation plan, and one
- 7 thing that the JPA is working on that I know of that
- 8 might be of interest to y'all is a property that's at
- 9 the very southern boundary of the base, and it's
- 10 approximately 11 acres that AL DOT is interested in,
- 11 and I believe the Army is putting together a desktop
- 12 EE/CA for that site, and I don't know much about it
- other than that.
- 14 LISA HOLSTEIN: We're putting
- together a desktop EE/CA for that site.
- MICHELLE KLOMP: There you have it.
- 17 LISA HOLSTEIN: It's certainly
- 18 underway. It's progress.
- 19 MICHELLE KLOMP: So that's the
- 20 highlights for the last quarter of last year for the
- 21 JPA.
- DR. BARRY COX: Anybody have any
- 23 comments or questions?

1	DR. JOHN SPAIN: I just have a
2	curiosity question. Is there any major asbestos
3	disposal that's going on on the base?
4	MICHELLE KLOMP: Disposal? As far
5	as disposal in the landfill or disposal out of a
6	building into a permanent place?
7	DR. JOHN SPAIN: No. Brought in
8	from other locations.
9	MICHELLE KLOMP: No, nothing's
10	brought in from other locations to the industrial
11	landfill. I don't know of anywhere else it would be
12	taken, unless someone has it piled up in their
13	backyard.
14	DR. JOHN SPAIN: No. I'm talking
15	about big stuff.
16	MICHELLE KLOMP: At this point, the
17	industrial landfill is primarily taking material from
18	the base, and it's construction demolition debris.
19	DR. JOHN SPAIN: No. I'm talking
20	about in past years that's sitting out somewhere?
21	MICHELLE KLOMP: Oh, not that I know
22	of.

DR. JOHN SPAIN: Okay.

1	DR. BARRY COX: What are the plans
2	to remove, like, the heating plants on the base that
3	has the asbestos on them, such as the one 3181.
4	MICHELLE KLOMP: 3181, you're going
5	to have to remind me
6	DR. BARRY COX: JSU, Gadsden,
7	McClellan building. There's a heating plant there.
8	My understanding was that it probably served about 10
9	buildings, is that correct, anybody from the old days?
10	PENN WILSON: I used to work in that
11	building, 3181 and 3191.
12	DR. BARRY COX: I was just curious.
13	We're on the clean-up list for that.
14	MICHELLE KLOMP: The building clean
15	up, unless it's actual chemicals, we don't handle it.
16	Asbestos would be something that would actually be a
17	question for the JPA. I can tell you that building
18	demolition, unless the building really needs
19	demolition is not high on their priority list right
20	now, and asbestos would be something that would be
21	handled through their property manager.

22

23

P.O. BOX 544
OHATCHEE, AL 36271
256-892-0591
FAX 256-892-3001

semi-environmental issue, but Matrix does not handle

DR. BARRY COX: So that is a

1	that?
2	MICHELLE KLOMP: We help them with
3	it, and we talk to them about it, but we don't handle
4	it.
5	STEVE YOUNG: Right. Because it's
6	driven by development, it's not an Army funded
7	clean-up activity. It's not something where the
8	clean-up is done to provide the ability, the
9	opportunity for development. Asbestos, lead-based
10	paint, those sorts of environmental issues are all
11	done at the time the sale is negotiated. It's part of
12	the deal. So let's say, you're the buyer, and you
13	don't want to do it, your price gets adjusted to
14	account for that, the JPA does it, or the other way
15	around. JPA says, as is, where is, you deal with it.
16	It becomes, again, part of the economics of the deal.
17	At that point, we'll come in and help
18	with that to the extent that the JPA has made a

commitment, but because that's the way it works,

not very much building take down going on.

there's not very much of that going on because there's

19

20

21

22

23

hazards associated with someone going in and looking  ${\tt P.O.~BOX~544}$ 

DR. JOHN SPAIN: Are there any

OHATCHEE, AL 36271 256-892-0591 FAX 256-892-3001

1	at	the	building?
_	$\alpha c$	CIIC	Durraring.

- 2 STEVE YOUNG: There could be.
- 3 Again, that would be kind of a case-by-case decision
- 4 that would have to be made. I know there was some
- 5 asbestos survey work that was done, so the JPA,
- 6 Scott Bradshaw would have a list of places where
- 7 there's suspect asbestos or lead-based paint, so,
- 8 they're going to have to handle that on a case-by-case
- 9 basis.
- 10 DR. JOHN SPAIN: Michelle, perhaps,
- 11 you could ask Scott to make a presentation to us at
- our next meeting on other environmental hazards.
- 13 MICHELLE KLOMP: Uh-huh.
- DR. JOHN SPAIN: We need to make
- that in the form of a motion, I guess, before we ask
- 16 that that be done.
- 17 MICHELLE KLOMP: Would it be
- 18 possible -- maybe, if he -- I don't know -- he's been
- 19 out lately.
- DR. JOHN SPAIN: Yeah, well, we
- 21 might skip it next meeting, but it's certainly not a
- crisis.
- 23 MICHELLE KLOMP: Would it possibly

1	be	enough	if	I	got	some	more	information	from	him	and
---	----	--------	----	---	-----	------	------	-------------	------	-----	-----

- just said, you know, well, we've got 32 buildings with
- 3 asbestos in them, and just gave you a briefing if he's
- 4 unavailable?
- DR. JOHN SPAIN: I would prefer to
- 6 hear it from Scott.
- 7 MICHELLE KLOMP: Sure.
- 8 DR. MIKE KIMBERLY: If I may,
- 9 Michelle, I think Scott did that a couple of meetings
- 10 back, did he not? Just before they were discussing
- 11 budget, he brought a list of all the buildings that
- 12 were either asbestos or other environmental issues,
- and he had a list of them.
- 14 MICHELLE KLOMP: I know he has the
- inventory.
- DR. MIKE KIMBERLY: At that
- 17 particular point, the budget was at the state that
- 18 they could not do anything with it because they were
- 19 getting some flak about, see those old barracks down
- there, they're already falling in, they're all
- 21 asbestos siding, but there was no plan to get anything
- 22 because they can't. The fire department won't come
- in. The EPA has something to say about that, but

1	there		_	7	
	I Here	1.8	$\overline{a}$	1181	

- 2 MICHELLE KLOMP: Yeah, he has the
- 3 data.
- DR. BARRY COX: Anything else?
- 5 Anybody else have any questions?
- 6 We appreciate it.
- 7 MICHELLE KLOMP: Sure.
- 8 DR. BARRY COX: Do we have an Army
- 9 report?
- 10 BRENDA CUNNINGHAM: Before we do the
- 11 Army report, I wanted to show you what the website
- 12 looks like. It's WWW.McClellan.Army.mil, if I can get
- it to come back on. This is our website, and you come
- 14 right here to administrative records, you can click
- 15 there, and you can pull -- if you know exactly what
- 16 you're looking for, like, the JPA, Matrix documents,
- 17 you can click there and do a search. And these are
- 18 all the JPA documents that we have. They're at the
- 19 Anniston Library and the Jacksonville Library, or you
- 20 can open it and review it here online.
- 21 Does that answer your question over
- there, Mr. Miller?
- JIM MILLER: Yes, thank you. That's

1	very good.	I	appreciate	that.	If	I	ever	have	а	bad

- 2 case of insomnia, I'll know where to go.
- 3 BRENDA CUNNINGHAM: Scott's not here
- 4 tonight to go over this. Lisa can answer any
- 5 questions to the Army if you have any.
- 6 LISA HOLSTEIN: Basically, in
- 7 summary, I can point out what's changed since the last
- 8 meeting, or do you want me to go over the whole thing?
- 9 JIM MILLER: I don't think your
- voice is going to last to go over the whole thing.
- 11 LISA HOLSTEIN: I can make it quick.
- 12 I'm not Ron Levy.
- 13 Within the Bravo Area, the Army is still
- 14 responsible for clean-up actions on seven acres, and
- 15 then there's about three acres south of the Bravo area
- that we're combining with those seven acres that's
- going to be transferred to AL DOT, so we're preparing
- 18 a desktop EE/CA. We're going to give the information
- 19 that we gather from the Bravo Area during our Bravo
- 20 Area EE/CA, and during the Eastern Bypass EE/CA, and
- 21 we're pulling in all that information to make a
- 22 stand-alone EE/CA for the Iron Mountain Road edition.
- 23 Preparation for that EE/CA is underway.

1	Within the Charlie Area, we've had
2	several versions of the EE/CA out. The Army has
3	recently agreed they've agreed to do some
4	additional sampling in the Charlie Area so that we can
5	wrap this EE/CA up.
6	Currently, Huntsville is preparing a
7	proposal for additional sampling, and we'll be
8	submitting that to ADEM soon, and to get their buy off
9	on what that additional sampling will encompass.
10	Roads and fire breaks, ADEM has
11	submitted some unresolved comments on that report, and
12	the Army revised the report based upon those comments
13	and submitted that in December.
14	Installation restoration program, this
15	is a new section that I've added so that we can show
16	the remedial investigations that are currently
17	underway, one, two, three, four, five, six, seven
18	remedial investigations in various stages of
19	completion.
20	Let's see. All of them have the
21	field work has been completed for the remedial
22	investigation, and either a draft version of the
23	remedial investigation report is out for ADEM review

- with the exception of the A-1 millimeter mortar range,
- and that MRI has been finalized, and also with
- 3 exception of the Range 29, we're awaiting funding to
- 4 begin the investigation in that area.
- 5 Property transfers hasn't changed since
- 6 last time. Eastern Bypass Tract 3 transfer, let's
- 7 see. There was a requirement in the draft FOST to
- 8 post signs to prohibit excavation activities in OES-2.
- 9 We -- when did we do -- back in December, we placed a
- 10 notice in the Anniston Star that changed the action
- 11 memorandum to remove that requirement. Because the
- 12 area has been cleared to depth and because on the 30
- grids that we're not cleared to depth, AL DOT
- 14 construction activities will be adding four feet of
- fill prior to placing the road, so the requirement to
- 16 post the signs are no longer necessary. We've got
- 17 ADEM approval on that.
- 18 Water Tank Site, that FOST was signed by
- 19 the Army on December 5th, and a notice of signature
- 20 was published in the Anniston Star. Mobile district
- is preparing the deeds for that. No change in the
- 22 safety.
- 23 Any questions?

1	ED KIMBROUGH: Is the funding
2	flowing fairly well?
3	LISA HOLSTEIN: Where we need
4	funding, we've got funding. We're not wanting.
5	DR. BARRY COX: Anybody else have
6	any questions?
7	Appreciate it.
8	Any other business we need to take up?
9	Any audience comments that we haven't heard? Do we
10	want to talk about the program for our next meeting?
11	So that's a no, I guess.
12	JIM MILLER: You'll have to think of
13	something.
14	DR. JOHN SPAIN: We can use Scott.
15	That would be a good program.
16	JIM MILLER: If he's available.
17	DR. JOHN SPAIN: If he's available,
18	right.
19	DR. BARRY COX: Could part of that
20	be, as we discussed earlier, perhaps ask ADEM for any
21	serious concerns they have with any issues with both
22	the Army and the JPA as far as the how the process

is going?

## NOBLE & ASSOCIATES

1	BRANDY LITTLE: I'm sorry. What
2	concerns we have?
3	DR. BARRY COX: Yeah. Anything that
4	you see as major issues well, let's just call it
5	major unresolved issues, the significant ones, would
6	that be a
7	JIM MILLER: Anything we could help
8	you worry about.
9	DR. BARRY COX: Anything else,
10	ladies and gentlemen?
11	Do I have a motion to adjourn.
12	JIM MILLER: So moved.
13	DR. BARRY COX: Second?
14	MONTY CLENDENIN: Second.
15	DR. BARRY COX: All in favor?
16	This meeting is adjourned.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF ALABAMA)
3	CALHOUN COUNTY )
4	
5	I, KELLI K. LOWERY, a Certified
6	Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for The State
7	of Alabama at Large, duly commissioned and qualified,
8	HEREBY CERTIFY that this proceeding was taken before
9	me, then was by me reduced to shorthand, afterwards
10	transcribed upon a computer, and that the foregoing is
11	a true and correct transcript of the proceeding to the
12	best of my ability.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY this proceeding
14	was taken at the time and place and was concluded
15	without adjournment.
16	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have
17	hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal at Anniston,
18	Alabama, on this the 4th of February, 2008.
19	
20	KELLI K. LOWERY
21	Notary Public in and for Alabama at Large
22	Arabama at Darge
23	MY COMMISSION EXPIRES: 09-15-2009.